UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,449	02/16/2000	George R. Borden, IV	KLR:7146.045	5400
55648 7590 03/20/2008 KEVIN L. RUSSELL CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP 1600 ODSTOWER			EXAMINER	
			CZEKAJ, DAVID J	
601 SW SECOND AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/505,449	BORDEN, IV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID CZEKAJ	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 De	ecember 2007.					
•	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/31/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

On page 7, applicant argues that Lee fails to disclose automatically increasing the magnification upon initialization. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Lee column 4, line 45 – column 5, line 2. There Lee discloses that upon initialization, setting the zoom lens to have a minimum focal distance. The examiner notes that setting the zoom lens to the minimum focal distance is going to be done relative to the last position of the lens. If the last position was a "zoomed in" position, the initialization will cause the zoom lens to zoom out. However, if the initialization command is performed on a camera that has not been used, the initialization will cause the zoom lens to increase magnification (zoom in) to the minimum focal distance. The examiner notes that the claim language does not recite only increasing magnification in response to initiating the track system, and therefore as broadly interpreted by the examiner, is taught by Lee as disclosed above. Therefore the rejection will be maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (6507366) in view of Loveland (6437819).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses an apparatus that relates to a method for automatically tracking a moving object (Lee: column 1, lines 7-9). This apparatus comprises "initiating the object tracking system" (Lee: figure 3A, wherein the initiating is the initialization) and "automatically increasing magnification an image in response to initiating the tracking system free from further user input while the tracking system is activated" (Lee: column 4, lines 44-59; column 5, lines 1-2). However this apparatus lacks decreasing the magnification based on a low confidence level and selecting an object of interest as claimed. Loveland teaches that prior art tracking systems require a user's full attention (Loveland: column 1, lines 40-43). To help alleviate this problem, Loveland discloses "selecting an object of interest while the tracking system is activated" (Loveland: column 3, lines 56-59, wherein the guard selects the person or object of interest) and "designating the object as the target, wherein the magnification is decreased automatically based upon a low confidence that the object is being tracked" (Loveland: column 4, lines 55-59, wherein the magnification is the zoom, the low confidence is the obscured view). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Lee and add the tracking taught by Loveland in order to obtain an apparatus that allows a user to perform more tasks since the users full attention is no longer required.

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 17, Loveland discloses "the image is magnified by adjustment of an optical lens" (Loveland: column 3, lines 60-65, wherein the PTZ camera includes an optical lens).

Page 4

Regarding claims 4, 13, and 19, Lee discloses "the magnification is an automatic result of the initiating the object tracking system" (Lee: column 4, lines 44-59).

Regarding claims 3, 12, and 18, Loveland discloses "the image is magnified by adjusting an electrical signal representing a part of the image" (Loveland: column 4, lines 9-32).

Regarding claims 5, 14, and 20, Loveland discloses "automatically changing the scale of the image following designation of the object as the target" (Abecassis: column 3, lines 57-64, wherein changing the scale is adjusting the panning and zooming functions of the camera).

Regarding claims 6, 15, and 31, Loveland discloses "moving a cursor to superimpose the cursor on the object of interest in the image" (Loveland: column 5, lines 31-35, wherein the cursor is the mouse cursor) and "signaling the tracking system that the cursor is superimposed on the object of interest" (Loveland: column 5, lines 31-35, wherein the signaling is the clicking).

Regarding claims 7-10 and 16, although not disclosed, the object tracking system could comprise a touch screen display that simultaneously selects and designates the target upon the next touch of the display (Official Notice). Doing

Art Unit: 2621

so would have been obvious in order to make the apparatus more user-friendly by providing the benefits of a touch screen display.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CZEKAJ whose telephone number is (571)272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dave Czekaj/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Mehrdad Dastouri/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621